## Pacific Project Team/8 Action Item List

| Action<br>Number | Goal  | Information/Status   | Responsible<br>Organization | Action Pending  | Action<br>Due | Status |
|------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---------------|--------|
| PP02-04          | Consider implementat ion of flexible tracks between approved entry and exit points within the RTE region on a daily basis | State ATM provided information on the regulatory and legislative requirements for operating off-routes and publishing routes. Some flexibility could be provided over the high seas under certain situations.  UAL presented information on a paper trial conducted. Results indicated the potential for some time and fuel savings, however they were inconclusive. | IATA                        | State ATM is developing an implementation plan for UPRs, including timelines. This is a large exercise however State ATM will endeavor to provide an update at PPT/9.   | May<br>2015   | Open   |
| PP06-03          | Zero track<br>load times  | State ATM would like ZAN to continue to work toward zeroing track load times for all routes.   | FAA                         | ZAN to continue assessing opportunities.  | May<br>2015   | Open   |
| PP07-01          | UPR<br>Expansion<br>in the RTE  |  | State<br>ATM/IATA           | State ATM to provide IATA with definition of sample user routes and IATA will provide sample plans and benefits to quantify case/need for UPRs. (ie. savings data for each UPR compared to traditional routes). | May<br>2015   | Open   |
| PP08-01          | Develop<br>PPT work<br>plan   | Determine current and future planned capabilities of ANSPs to assist with gap analysis and development of a clear roadmap for the work of the Pacific Project Team.  | All ANSPs                   | FAA to send a Seamless<br>Airspace Template to all<br>ANSPs to complete.  | Feb.<br>2015  | Open   |

| Action<br>Number | Goal   | Information/Status  | Responsible<br>Organization | Action Pending   | Action<br>Due | Status |
|------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|---------------|--------|
| PP08-02          | Collect<br>traffic count<br>data for<br>Arctic,<br>Anchorage/<br>RTE, and<br>NOPAC | IATA has requested more detailed traffic count information for the NOPAC, Anchorage/RTE, Arctic fixes. Specifically, a breakdown of traffic by hour and days of the week is requested.  | IATA/ANSPs                  | Both JCAB and ZAN have data available, however, both have requested more details about what is specifically requested and how/what that data will be used for. IATA to provide more details prior to PPT/09. | March<br>2015 | Open   |
| PP08-03          | UPR<br>Expansion   | IATA request that the FAA consider allowing UPRs westbound to Russian FIR boundary fixes Magadan and Petropavlovsk boundaries. The relevant fixes are ERNIK, RUSOR, BESAT, BAMOK, KOKES, LUMES, and KUNAD. If possible the UPRs would not require using any ATS routes within ZAN airspace. | IATA/FAA                    | IATA to refine the request and provide ZAN with additional details for their consideration.  | May<br>2015   |        |
| PP08-04          | UPR<br>Expansion   | IATA request that Russia consider an extension of the UPR expansion proposal in PP08-03 by allowing UPRs westbound from the Anchorage FIR boundary (LAT/LONs) to named waypoints along ATS routes within Russia Oceanic airspace.   | IATA/State<br>ATM           | State ATM to consider.   | March<br>2015 | Open   |

| Action<br>Number | Goal                    | Information/Status   | Responsible<br>Organization | Action Pending   | Action<br>Due | Status |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---------------|--------|
| PP08-05          | Improve<br>Efficiencies | Provide information on the Oakland FIR trial to merge PACOTS tracks C/E    | FAA                         | FAA reported that the trial to merge PACOTS tracks C and E has been temporarily suspended but is expected to resume in February 2015. Main issues revolves around appropriate direction for altitude of flight and what can be accepted by downstream facilities. Of note, most aircraft that would normally file along Track E are using UPRs north of Track E and merging with Track C. UPR trial in conjunction with Track F has been successful and without issue. | March<br>2015 | Open   |
| PP08-06          | Improve<br>Efficiencies | Provide information on the<br>Oakland FIR UPR trial with<br>PACOTS Track F | FAA                         | . UPR trial in conjunction with Track F has been successful and without issue.   | March<br>2015 | Open   |